



Published in final edited form as:

PM R. 2015 March ; 7(3): 276–282. doi:10.1016/j.pmrj.2014.10.003.

Comparison of Automated versus Traditional Nerve Conduction Study Methods for Median Nerve Testing in a General Worker Population

Ann Marie Dale, PhD¹, Folasade Agboola, MPH^{1,2}, Amber Yun, MPH^{2,3}, Angelique Zeringue, MS^{1,2}, Muhammed T. Al-Lozi, MD¹, and Bradley Evanoff, MD¹

¹Divisions of General Medical Sciences and Neurology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA

²Saint Louis University School of Public Health, St Louis, MO, USA

³Washington State Hospital Association, Seattle, WA, USA

Abstract

Objective—To investigate the validity of automated nerve conduction studies compared to traditional electrodiagnostic studies (EDS) for testing median nerve abnormalities in a working population.

Design—Agreement study and sensitivity investigation from two devices

Setting—Field research testing lab

Participants—Active workers from several industries participating in a longitudinal study of CTS.

Methods—Sixty-two subjects received bilateral median and ulnar nerve conduction testing across the wrist with a traditional device and the NC-stat automated device. We compared intermethod agreement of analogous measurements.

Main outcome measurement—Nerve conduction study parameters

Results—Median motor and sensory latency comparisons showed excellent agreement (intra-class correlation 0.85 and 0.80 respectively). Areas under the receiver operator characteristic curves were 0.97 and 0.96 respectively, using the optimal thresholds of 4.4ms median motor latency (sensitivity 100%, specificity 86%) and 3.9ms median sensory latency (sensitivity 100%, specificity 87%). Ulnar nerve testing results were less favorable.

Conclusion—The automated NC-stat device showed excellent agreement with traditional EDS for detecting median nerve conduction abnormalities in a general population of workers,

© 2014 by the American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. All rights reserved.

Corresponding Author: Ann Marie Dale Washington University School of Medicine 660 S. Euclid Ave, Campus Box 8005 St. Louis, MO 63110 Telephone: 314-454-8470 Fax: 314-454-5113 adale@dom.wustl.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

suggesting that this automated nerve conduction device can be used to ascertain research case definitions of CTS in population health studies. Further study is needed to determine optimal thresholds for defining median conduction abnormalities in populations that are not seeking clinical care.

Keywords

Epidemiology; Screening; Work; Testing methods

INTRODUCTION

Carpal Tunnel Syndrome (CTS) is the most costly upper extremity disorder in working populations with reported prevalence rates as high as 14.5% in some industries [1-3]. These conditions more often occur in workers who perform physically demanding and repetitive tasks in their jobs [4]. CTS is also present in the general population, although rates are lower (1-5%) compared to high risk working populations [1,5-7]. The progressive nature of the disorder makes diagnosis more difficult in the early stages, but early detection and medical management may reduce long-term health effects and disability [8-10].

Impaired median nerve conduction across the wrist is an objective measure often used to make a diagnosis of carpal tunnel syndrome. Clinicians use symptom history and nerve conduction study results to determine a diagnosis in patients who seek medical evaluation. Detection of nerve conduction abnormalities in general working populations may be valuable for health surveillance programs, for epidemiology research, and for the evaluation of workplace intervention efforts. Traditional electrodiagnostic devices were designed to be used as stationary equipment in a clinical setting, limiting the flexibility for transporting to field environments. Portable electrodiagnostic devices offer significant advantages for use in field based research and surveillance programs.

Recently developed automated devices were intended to make nerve conduction testing more accessible via portability of the device and automated test procedures that can perform data collection more consistently and with less need for operator input than traditional electrodiagnostic methods. Similar to standard testing, results are reviewed by an experienced electrodiagnostician to assess the quality of the tests, and for interpretation. Previous studies have shown that the NC-stat automated device accurately detects nerve abnormalities in clinical populations [9-12]. However, the device's ability to detect median nerve abnormalities in a general population setting, characterized by a lower frequency and perhaps lower severity of nerve abnormalities, has not been compared to traditional studies. When applied to general population settings, testing results derived from clinical populations may be subject to disease spectrum bias, creating the potential to over or under estimate the number of cases due to the severity of disease within a given population [13]. The purpose of this study was to investigate the validity of NC-stat testing compared to traditional testing in a sample of active workers participating in a longitudinal testing protocol. We hypothesized that median nerve conduction studies from the NC-stat device would produce similar results as traditional testing in a group of active workers.

METHODS

Subjects

As part of an ongoing, prospective study investigating the development of carpal tunnel syndrome (the PrediCTS study), subjects who received nerve conduction testing with the automated NC-stat device were invited to receive a second nerve conduction study (NCS) using a traditional device. Detailed descriptions of the methods for the PrediCTS study have been previously published [14,15]. Initially, subjects were excluded from participating in the parent study if they had a prior diagnosis of CTS. Data collection included bilateral nerve conduction studies of the wrist and physical examinations of the upper extremity at baseline and at follow-up 3 to 5 years later. All subjects completed periodic questionnaires and were asked if they had received a medical diagnosis of upper extremity peripheral neuropathy including carpal tunnel syndrome or ulnar neuropathy. Follow-up physical examination and electrodiagnostic testing was performed on 780 subjects in the parent study. In this study, all subjects contacted for repeat physical examination and electrodiagnostic testing between April 2010 and January 2011 were invited to receive a second test using a traditional NCS. This additional testing of subjects with traditional NCS was dependent on both the subjects' willingness to receive the additional test, and on the availability of the trained tester. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Washington University in St. Louis; all subjects provided written informed consent and were compensated for participation.

Traditional NCS

Traditional nerve conduction testing was performed by a technician (experienced hand therapist) who was trained and supervised in nerve testing procedures by a board-certified electrodiagnostician. All test results were reviewed by the electrodiagnostician. The traditional tests used the NeuroMax 1002 device (Natus Medical Incorporated, Excel-Tech Ltd (XLTEK) ON, Canada). Subject's hands and wrists were thoroughly cleaned with soap and alcohol wipe to remove residual skin debris. Measured surface temperature readings were recorded before and after testing on the palm and wrist skin surfaces. If the temperature was below 32° Celsius, the subject's hand was warmed with repeat temperature measurement taken before testing. Warming techniques included use of a warming pad for the hand and forearm and wrapping the subject in a blanket or donning a jacket.

For the motor nerve conduction studies, the surface recording electrode (E1) was placed over the middle of the thenar eminence for the median nerve and over the middle of the hypothenar eminence for the ulnar nerve; the surface reference electrode (E2) was placed over the thumb or small finger, respectively. The median nerve was stimulated at the wrist, 7 cm proximal to E1, and the ulnar nerve was stimulated at the wrist, 7 cm proximal to E1. Distance was measured between the stimulator cathode and E1. For the median and ulnar antidromic sensory studies, ring electrodes were used. To record the median and ulnar antidromic sensory responses, E1 and E2 were placed over the long and small digits respectively, with a fixed distance of 3 cm between them. Stimulation was performed at the wrist at a distance of 14 cm (or 16 cm for large hands) between the stimulator cathode and E1. Supramaximal stimulation was obtained in all studies. Onset motor latencies and onset

and peak sensory latencies, and negative peak amplitudes were measured. All waveforms were inspected by a board certified electromyographer.

Automated testing device

Automated nerve conduction testing with the NC-stat device (NC-stat, Neurometrix Inc, Waltham, MA, USA) was performed by a research technician trained in procedures following the manufacturer's guidelines. The technical specifications of the NC-stat device are presented in greater detail in other publications [9,11,12]. Skin preparation with thorough cleaning procedures was performed before testing. A preconfigured single sensor was placed on the wrist with the distal sensor on the long finger for median nerve studies and on the small finger for ulnar nerve studies as shown in figure 1. The distance between the wrist and finger electrodes was measured. The device automatically elicited a series of stimulations to the nerves and recorded the evoked responses, waveforms, amplitudes, and peak sensory and onset motor latencies. Wrist surface skin temperature was automatically recorded by a temperature electrode embedded in the wrist sensor. The device stopped testing if it detected a problem with the sensor or low skin temperature, alerting the technician by an error message on the screen. Once corrected, the testing proceeded. At the end of the session, the results were sent electronically via modem to the manufacturer for review and interpretation by a board certified electrodiagnostician.

Data management and analysis

The corresponding parameters from each device were extracted for the median and ulnar nerves: distal motor latencies (DML), distal sensory latencies (DSL), and computations of median-ulnar differences for the motor (MUDM) and sensory latencies (MUDS). Motor and sensory latency values from both devices were normalized to a temperature of 32 degrees Celsius using the temperature adjustment coefficients recommended by the manufacturer of the NC-Stat. The traditional test sensory latencies recorded at a distance of 16cm were normalized to a standard distance of 14 cm (18/62 subjects); all NC-stat sensory latencies were corrected to the 14cm standard distance. No length adjustments were made to motor values since the NC-stat device used conduction volume methodology to obtain the latencies.

Measures of agreement (Pearson's correlation and intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC)) for matched data from each device were performed to evaluate intermethod agreement for DML, DSL, MUDM, and MUDS. ICC measures used two-way mixed effects models, consistency type, and average measures [16]. To evaluate the comparability of test results, sensitivity and specificity values were computed for sensory and motor latencies using a range of values for thresholds indicating nerve conduction abnormality on traditional testing derived from our previous study and published literature [9,16-19]. Receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curves were generated to determine optimal testing thresholds. Statistical analysis was performed by SAS [20] and SPSS [21].

RESULTS

Study Group

Of the 134 subjects from the parent study who presented for nerve conduction testing during the ten months of this study, 62 subjects received the second (traditional) nerve conduction study. Other willing subjects were not available during the technician's testing schedule. The tested population was predominately young, male, and overweight (Table 1), but their demographics (age, gender, bmi) and frequency of reported hand symptoms were not significantly different from those of the overall study population of 780 subjects (T-tests: age $p=0.34$, bmi $p=0.30$; χ^2 : gender $p=0.63$, hand symptoms $p=.74$). The 62 subjects in this study were employed in several occupational sectors including clerical, service, manufacturing, and construction; while the majority had no hand symptoms, 5 subjects reported symptoms scored as “probable” or “likely” CTS based on a Katz hand diagram [22,23]. Two of the tested subjects reported receiving a diagnosis of carpal tunnel syndrome or ulnar neuropathy from a health care provider since they entered the study 3 or more years previously.

Table 2 shows the characteristics of measured latency and the measured temperatures. Three (5%) subjects were warmed. There was little variation in the nerve conduction values of each parameter with few outliers; the median and mean values were nearly identical showing the narrow distribution of the data. Each test was length and temperature adjusted to correct for differences within these measurements. All mean adjusted NC-stat values were lower than the mean of the corresponding traditional test for the tested parameters. Figure 1 displays scatterplots of NC-stat latencies plotted against traditional latencies and correlations of paired data for each parameter. Results showed that agreement was higher for the median nerve parameters than the ulnar values.

Table 3 displays results for the sensitivity and specificity analysis of selected traditional test thresholds and the number of subjects who were classified as abnormal for exceeding the threshold. ROC curves were generated for each threshold based on sensitivity and specificity results from varying the NC-stat threshold. For each traditional test threshold, we calculated the NC-stat value that optimized the area under the ROC curve, which indicate the balance between the specificity and sensitivity. The optimal NC-stat values were lower than the corresponding traditional test value for all parameters, corresponding to the lower values shown in table 2. The highest ROC areas were 0.97 and 0.96 for the median nerve parameters indicating excellent comparison of results between the two devices [24]. The ROC values were lower for the ulnar nerve although the highest ROC showed 100% sensitivity for the ulnar DML and DSL, and reasonable specificity (74%) for the ulnar sensory latency. Most of the selected traditional thresholds used to detect abnormal results corresponded to the highest ROC area and showed a sensitivity of 100%. The median-ulnar sensory difference showed the highest specificity using a 0.80 threshold reference on the traditional test, but much lower sensitivity. Based on these traditional thresholds, this population had few subjects who would have been considered abnormal for any parameter, which is consistent with the low number of subjects reporting symptoms or a physician diagnosis.

DISCUSSION

The study findings showed good agreement and comparability in detecting abnormal nerve conduction values between the NC-stat and the traditional method in the median nerve. The ulnar nerve results were less robust, but still identified individuals with abnormal values that would be considered pathological. The population of workers who were screened in this study contained few individuals with symptoms or signs of neurologic change, yet workers with median nerve changes were detected similarly with both devices, and the low number of subjects with abnormal nerve conduction findings paralleled the infrequent reporting of symptoms by subjects. The high sensitivity of the thresholds found in our study optimize on sensitivity rather than specificity, as appropriate for a screening test. As is true for any nerve conduction study, the results alone should not be used to confirm disease or make clinical judgments regarding diagnosis without considering the full clinical picture of the individual.

All mean values of the NC-stat device were lower than the analogous mean values of the traditional test, demonstrating some systematic differences in testing methods. In addition, the range of values was narrower for the median latencies from NC-stat compared to traditional testing, but similar for ulnar latencies from both devices. Since different nerve conduction testing devices may produce slightly different nerve conduction values, electrodiagnostic laboratories often evaluate a normal population of individuals with similar characteristics to those seen in the laboratory to determine a reference standard for studies conducted within the laboratory. NC-stat has developed a similar reference range and algorithm incorporating gender, age, and stature of tested individuals that is applied to determine if study results fall outside of population norms. The current study used temperature and length-adjusted raw values from each device that did not account for systematic differences between the two test methods. The absolute values produced by different devices may not be directly comparable for diagnostic purposes.

Sensitivity, Specificity and ROC Curves

The area under the curve is a measure of test accuracy; this single value shows the ability of a test to distinguish those with disease from those without disease. Areas close to 1 indicate the test has good predictive ability. The results of the current study showed the NC-stat produced ROC curves near 1 for motor and sensory latencies of the median nerve when compared to traditional methods. These findings in a general working population parallel findings from our previous study which evaluated the validity of the NC-Stat in a clinical setting [12], and produced ROC areas for the median DML and DSL of 0.97 and 0.92 respectively.

Ulnar nerve disorders are less common than median nerve alterations [25,26], so latency values of the ulnar nerve in most populations have less variation. In this population of workers who were not seeking medical attention, the range of ulnar latency values was narrower than median latencies. Correlations of data with a narrow range are sensitive to small differences of disagreement, even if occurring in only a few subjects, and particularly with small sample sizes [26,27]. Similar findings of lower correlation for ulnar latencies versus median latencies have been found in other studies that compared the NC-stat device

to traditional methods [11,12,15] as well as in test-retest studies of traditional electrodiagnostic studies [28-31].

Limitations

There are several limitations to this study. Since this study was nested within a larger longitudinal study measuring the incidence of new cases of CTS, we had few subjects with abnormal findings. In addition, we performed the study on a relatively small convenience sample of workers who were predominately male and employed in one of several industries, so the results may not be representative of different working populations. There were also differences in testing methods internal and external to the device that may have affected our comparisons. We accounted for many of these differences by adjusting for temperature and distances during testing, using one technician to perform all tests, and performing quality checks of waveforms by a board certified electrodiagnostician.

Conclusion

The NC-stat device has been previously shown to have excellent agreement with traditional methods of median nerve testing in clinical populations; this study shows that this excellent agreement extends to use in a general worker population with low prevalence of disease. Ulnar nerve tests may produce less reliable results than median nerve testing. The simplicity, portability, and ease of operating the device advocates for its use in obtaining median nerve conduction for field based epidemiology research studies. The ability to more easily test nerve conduction outside of a clinical laboratory setting enables large population studies that can add to our knowledge of the etiology of median nerve abnormalities, and the pre-clinical natural history of disease progression. Regardless of the testing method employed, the prevalence of abnormal median nerve conduction is high in many working populations [32], even among asymptomatic workers. The effectiveness of using nerve conduction testing results in prevention programs has not been demonstrated; in particular, the utility of such testing in post-offer pre-placement testing has been questioned [33,34 35]. As with all testing, informed interpretation of test results and clinical evaluation of the patient is required for appropriate medical decision making.

Acknowledgments

Funding Source: Funding for this study was provided by Center for Disease Control / National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (R01 OH008017) and from the National Center for Research Resources (NCRR), a component of the National Institutes of Health (NIH), and NIH Roadmap for Medical Research (UL1 TR000448). Nerve conduction testing supplies were provided by NEUROMetrix, Inc.

No authors in this study are affiliated with any organization that to any author's knowledge have direct interests, including financial interest, in the subject matter or materials discussed.

REFERENCES

1. Atroshi I, Gummesson C, Johnsson R, Ornstein E, Ranstam J, Rosen I. Prevalence of carpal tunnel syndrome in a general population. JAMA. 1999; 282(2):153–158. [PubMed: 10411196]
2. Roquelaure Y, Ha C, Pelier-Cady MC, et al. Work increases the incidence of carpal tunnel syndrome in the general population. Muscle Nerve. 2008; 37(4):477–482. [PubMed: 18236454]

3. Levine DW, Simmons BP, Koris MJ, et al. A self-administered questionnaire for the assessment of severity of symptoms and functional status in carpal tunnel syndrome. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1993; 75(11):1585–1592. [PubMed: 8245050]
4. Silverstein, B.; Evanoff, B. Musculoskeletal Disorders.. In: Levy, BS.; Wegman, DH.; Baron, SL.; Sokas, RK., editors. *Occupational and Environmental Health: Recognizing and Preventing Disease and Injury.* 6th ed.. Oxford University Press; Oxford: 2011. p. 335-365.
5. Tanaka S, Wild DK, Seligman PJ, Halperin WE, Behrens VJ, Putz-Anderson V. Prevalence and work-relatedness of self-reported carpal tunnel syndrome among U.S. workers: analysis of the Occupational Health Supplement data of 1988 National Health Interview Survey. *Am J Ind Med.* 1995; 27(4):451–470. [PubMed: 7793419]
6. Stevens JC, Sun S, Beard CM, O'Fallon WM, Kurland LT. Carpal tunnel syndrome in Rochester, Minnesota, 1961 to 1980. *Neurology* 1988. 38(1):134–138.
7. De Krom MC, Knipschild PG, Kester ADM, Thijs CT, Boekkooi PF, Spaans F. Carpal tunnel syndrome: Prevalence in the general population. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 1992; 45(4):373–376. [PubMed: 1569433]
8. Daniell WE, Fulton-Kehoe D, Chiou LA, Franklin GM. Work-related carpal tunnel syndrome in Washington State workers' compensation: temporal trends, clinical practices, and disability. *Am J Ind Med.* 2005; 48(4):259–269. [PubMed: 16142733]
9. Gardner BT, Dale AM, Vandillen L, Franzblau A, Evanoff BA. Predictors of upper extremity symptoms and functional impairment among workers employed for 6 months in a new job. *Am J Ind Med.* 2008; 51(12):932–940. [PubMed: 18651568]
10. Silverstein BA, Fan ZJ, Bonauto DK, et al. The natural course of carpal tunnel syndrome in a working population. *Scand J Work Environ Health.* 2010; 36(5):384–393. [PubMed: 20352175]
11. Jabre JF, Salzsieder BT, Gnemi KE. Criterion validity of the NC-stat automated nerve conduction measurement instrument. *Physiol Meas.* 2007; 28(1):95–104. [PubMed: 17151423]
12. Leffler CT, Gozani SN, Cros D. Median neuropathy at the wrist: diagnostic utility of clinical findings and an automated electrodiagnostic device. *J Occup Environ Med.* 2000; 42(4):398–409. [PubMed: 10774509]
13. Rotman MB, Enkvetchakul BV, Megerian JT, Gozani SN. Time course and predictors of median nerve conduction after carpal tunnel release. *J Hand Surg [Am].* 2004; 29(3):367–372.
14. Vinik AI, Emley MS, Megerian JT, Gozani SN. Median and ulnar nerve conduction measurements in patients with symptoms of diabetic peripheral neuropathy using the NC-stat system. *Diabetes Technol Ther.* 2004; 6(6):816–824. [PubMed: 15684634]
15. Kong X, Gozani SN, Hayes MT, Weinberg DH. NC-stat sensory nerve conduction studies in the median and ulnar nerves of symptomatic patients. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2006; 117(2):405–413. [PubMed: 16403673]
16. Hulley, SB.; Cummings, SR. *Designing Clinical Research.* Williams and Wilkins; Baltimore: 1988.
17. Armstrong TN, Dale AM, Al-Lozi MT, Franzblau A, Evanoff BA. Median and Ulnar Nerve Conduction Studies at the Wrist: Criterion Validity of the NC-Stat Automated Device. *J Occup Environ Med.* 2008; 50(7):758–764. 710.1097/JOM.1090b1013e3181645425. [PubMed: 18617831]
18. Salerno DF, Franzblau A, Werner RA, Bromberg MB, Armstrong TJ, Albers JW. Median and ulnar nerve conduction studies among workers: normative values. *Muscle Nerve.* 1998; 21(8): 999–1005. [PubMed: 9655117]
19. Werner RA, Franzblau A, Gell N, Hartigan AG, Ebersole M, Armstrong TJ. Incidence of carpal tunnel syndrome among automobile assembly workers and assessment of risk factors. *J Occup Environ Med.* 2005; 47(10):1044–1050. [PubMed: 16217245]
20. SAS/STAT software: changes and enhancements through release 6.12 [computer program]. SAS Institute Inc.; Cary, NC: 2002.
21. SPSS Base 16.0. SPSS Inc.; Chicago, IL.: 2007. [computer program]
22. Katz JN, Stirrat CR. A self-administered hand diagram for the diagnosis of carpal tunnel syndrome. *J Hand Surg [Am].* 1990; 15(2):360–363.

23. Franzblau A, Werner RA, Albers JW, Grant CL, Olinski D, Johnston E. Workplace surveillance for carpal tunnel syndrome using hand diagrams. *J Occup Rehabil.* 1994; 4(4):185–198. [PubMed: 24234506]
24. Rosner, B. *Fundamentals of biostatistics.* Duxbury Press; Belmont: 2005.
25. Shrout P, JL F. Intraclass correlations: uses in assessing rater reliability. *Psychological Bulletin.* 1979; 86:420–428. [PubMed: 18839484]
26. Stetson DS, Albers JW, Silverstein BA, Wolfe RA. Effects of age, sex, and anthropometric factors on nerve conduction measures. *Muscle Nerve.* 1992; 15(10):1095–1104. [PubMed: 1406766]
27. Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement. *Lancet.* 1986; 1(8476):307–310. [PubMed: 2868172]
28. Miller TT, Reinus WR. Nerve Entrapment Syndromes of the Elbow, Forearm, and Wrist. *Am J Roentgenol.* 2010; 195(3):585–594. [PubMed: 20729434]
29. Werner R. Electrodiagnostic Evaluation of Carpal Tunnel Syndrome and Ulnar Neuropathies. *PM R.* 2013; S1934-1482(13):00156–00151.
30. Pinheiro DS, Manzano GM, Nóbrega JA. Reproducibility in nerve conduction studies and F-wave analysis. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2008; 119(9):2070–2073. [PubMed: 18621579]
31. Salerno DF, Werner RA, Albers JW, Becker MP, Armstrong TJ, Franzblau A. Reliability of nerve conduction studies among active workers. *Muscle Nerve.* 1999; 22(10):1372–1379. [PubMed: 10487903]
32. Dale AM, Harris-Adamson C, Rempel D, et al. Prevalence and incidence of carpal tunnel syndrome in US working populations: pooled analysis of six prospective studies. *Scand J Work Environ Health.* 2013 online first. doi:10.5271/sjweh.3351.
33. Franzblau A, Werner RA, Yihan J. Preplacement nerve testing for carpal tunnel syndrome: is it cost effective? *J Occup Environ Med.* 2004; 46(7):714–719. [PubMed: 15247811]
34. Evanoff B, Kymes S. Modeling the cost-benefit of nerve conduction studies in pre-employment screening for carpal tunnel syndrome. *Scand J Work Environ Health.* 2010; 36(4):299–304. [PubMed: 20499041]
35. Dale AM, Gardner B, Zeringue A, Werner R, Franzblau A, Evanoff B. The Effectiveness of Post- Offer Pre-placement Nerve Conduction Screening for Carpal Tunnel Syndrome. Accepted to *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine* March. 2014; 28




Figure 1.
The NC-stat device test set-up.




Figure 2. Scatterplots of Distal Latencies for NC-Stat and Traditional Testing and corresponding correlations and ICCs

Table 1

Characteristics of study population (n=62)

	n	(%)
Gender		
Male	43	(69.4)
Female	19	(30.7)
Self-Reported Hand Symptoms[‡]		
Yes	17	(27.4)
No	41	(71.0)
Missing	1	(1.6)
Reported MD diagnosis		
Carpal Tunnel Syndrome	1	(1.6)
Ulnar Neuropathy	1	(1.6)
Job Title Categories		
Management/Clerical	16	(25.8)
Service/Manufacturing	24	(38.7)
Construction	22	(35.5)
Age (years) Mean (SD)		
	33.66	(9.43)
BMI (kg/m²) Mean (SD)		
	30.44	(8.02)

BMI- body mass index, SD- standard deviation

[‡]Reported recurring symptoms in the hand, wrist, or fingers more than three times or lasting one week in the past year

Table 2

Characteristics of nerve conduction parameters of study population by dominant hand (n=62)

	N	Traditional NCS				NC-Stat		Paired T-test	
		Mean (SD)	Median	Min, Max	Mean (SD)	Median	Min, Max	p-value	
Median									
DML *	55	3.90 (0.49)	3.8	3.3, 6.1	3.53 (0.39)	3.5	2.9, 4.8	<0.001	
DSL *	57	3.41 (0.42)	3.3	2.5, 5.2	2.89 (0.29)	2.9	2.3, 3.9	<0.001	
Post-test Temperature (°C)	61	32.20 (1.47)			31.52 (1.69)			0.002	
Ulnar									
DML *	52	3.09 (0.26)	3.0	2.6, 3.8	2.62 (0.27)	2.6	2.0, 3.3	<0.001	
DSL *	50	3.29 (0.30)	3.3	2.2, 4.0	3.04 (0.21)	3.1	2.6, 3.5	<0.001	
Post-test Temperature (°C)	61	32.20 (1.47)			32.64 (1.48)			0.034	
MUD									
Motor *	48	0.76 (0.37)	0.69	0.1, 2.0	0.91 (0.42)	0.82	0.07, 2.2	0.007	
Sensory *	50	0.08 (0.30)	0.05	-0.5, 1.0	-0.20 (0.26)	-0.23	-0.70, 0.51	<0.001	

NCS-nerve conduction studies, DML-distal motor latency, DSL-distal sensory latency, MUD-median-ulnar latency difference, C-Celcius, SD-standard deviation.

* DML and DSL latencies adjusted to a temperature of 32°C and DSL latencies length-adjusted to 14 cm

Table 3

Specificity and Sensitivity Analysis

	Traditional Reference Value (ms)	n	Abnormal Cases n (%)	Optimal NC-stat Value (ms)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Area Under ROC Curve
Median							
DML	4.20	55	10 (18)	3.60	100	82	0.95
	<u>4.40</u>	55	4 (7)	3.77	100	86	0.97
DSL	3.60	57	12 (21)	3.07	58	93	0.80
	<u>3.90</u>	57	3 (5)	3.07	100	87	0.96
Ulnar							
DML	3.20	52	18 (35)	2.91	22	94	0.56
	<u>3.50</u>	52	3 (6)	2.65	100	63	0.80
DSL	3.60	51	5 (10)	2.98	100	35	0.54
	<u>3.90</u>	51	1 (2)	3.19	100	74	0.74
MUD							
Motor	1.20	48	5 (10)	1.20	80	88	0.82
	<u>1.40</u>	48	1 (2)	2.10	100	76	1.00
Sensory	0.50	50	3 (6)	-0.28	67	85	0.75
	<u>0.80</u>	50	2 (4)	0.45	50	100	0.70

* Traditional Reference Values used to generate ROC